

ON COMMUTATIVITY IN STRONGLY
 k -ENGEL π -REGULAR RINGS

A.Y.M. Chin¹, Sh. Sahebi^{2 §}

¹Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science
University of Malaya
50603, Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA
e-mail: acym@um.edu.my

²Department of Mathematics
Islamic Azad University
Tehran Centre, Tehran, IRAN
e-mail: sahebi@iauctb.ac.ir

Abstract: Let R be an associative ring with identity and let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. An element $(x, y) \in R \times R$ is said to be left (right) k -Engel π -regular if there exist a positive integer n and an element $z \in R$ such that $[x, y]_k^n = z[x, y]_k^{n+1}$ ($[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z$). If every element of $R \times R$ is left (right) k -Engel π -regular, then R is said to be left (right) k -Engel π -regular. An element $(x, y) \in R \times R$ is strongly k -Engel π -regular if it is both left and right k -Engel π -regular. The ring R is strongly k -Engel π -regular if every element of $R \times R$ is strongly k -Engel π -regular. In this paper we investigate conditions for a strongly k -Engel π -regular ring to be commutative.

AMS Subject Classification: 16U99, 16E50

Key Words: strongly π -regular ring, k -Engel ring

1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity. An element $x \in R$ is said to be right π -regular if there exist a positive integer n and an element $y \in R$ such

Received: April 7, 2012

© 2012 Academic Publications

§Correspondence author

that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$. If every element of R is right π -regular, then R is said to be right π -regular. By [3], this definition is left-right symmetric. An element of R is strongly π -regular if it is both left and right π -regular. R is strongly π -regular if every element of R is strongly π -regular. In [1] it was shown that if an element x in the ring R is strongly π -regular, then there exist a positive integer n and an element $y \in R$ such that $x^n = x^{n+1}y$ and $xy = yx$. In the case where $n = 1$, the element x is said to be strongly regular.

If $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements of R and k is a positive integer, we define $[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]$ inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= x_1x_2 - x_2x_1, \\ [x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}] &= [[x_1, \dots, x_k], x_{k+1}].\end{aligned}$$

If $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = \dots = x_{k+1} = y$, the notation $[x, y]_k$ is used to denote $[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]$ and $[x, y]_k$ is called a k -Engel element. For $k = 1$, $[x, y]_k = [x, y]_1$ is usually just denoted by $[x, y]$. We say that R satisfies the k -Engel condition if $[x, y]_k = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Commutative rings clearly have the k -Engel condition for any positive integer k .

An element $(x, y) \in R \times R$ is said to be left (right) k -Engel π -regular if there exist a positive integer n and an element $z \in R$ such that $[x, y]_k^n = z[x, y]_k^{n+1}$ ($[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z$). If every element of $R \times R$ is left (right) k -Engel π -regular, then R is said to be left (right) k -Engel π -regular. An element $(x, y) \in R \times R$ is strongly k -Engel π -regular if it is both left and right k -Engel π -regular. The ring R is strongly k -Engel π -regular if every element of $R \times R$ is strongly k -Engel π -regular. Clearly, if (x, y) is strongly k -Engel π -regular, then $[x, y]_k$ is strongly π -regular. Therefore there exist a positive integer n and an element $z \in R$ such that $[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z$ and $[x, y]_kz = z[x, y]_k$ (by [1]).

Division rings are examples of strongly k -Engel π -regular rings. Other examples include full matrix rings over division rings and triangular matrix rings over fields. It is clear that rings which satisfy the k -Engel condition are strongly k -Engel π -regular. In this paper we investigate conditions under which a strongly k -Engel π -regular ring is commutative (hence, has the k -Engel condition). All rings in this paper are assumed to have identity.

2. Main Results

Let k be a positive integer. We begin with the following characterization of strongly k -Engel π -regular rings.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a ring. Then R is strongly k -Engel π -regular if and only if for each $x, y \in R$, $[x, y]_k = a + w$ where $a, w \in R$ such that a is strongly regular, w is nilpotent and $aw = wa$.*

Proof. Suppose first that R is strongly k -Engel π -regular. Then there exist a positive integer n and an element $z \in R$ such that $[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z$ and $[x, y]_k z = z[x, y]_k$. Since $[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z = [x, y]_k^{n+2}z^2 = \cdots = [x, y]_k^{2n}z^n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} ([x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n)^2([x, y]_k^{n-1}z^n) &= [x, y]_k^{3n+1}z^{3n} \\ &= [x, y]_k^{n+1}([x, y]_k^{2n}z^n)z^{2n} \\ &= [x, y]_k^{2n+1}z^{2n} \\ &= [x, y]_k([x, y]_k^{2n}z^n)z^n \\ &= [x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $[x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n$ is strongly regular. Moreover, since $[x, y]_k^n z^n$ is an idempotent, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} ([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n)^n &= [x, y]_k^n(1 - [x, y]_k^n z^n)^n \\ &= [x, y]_k^n(1 - [x, y]_k^n z^n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n$ is nilpotent. It is clear that

$$[x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n) = ([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n)[x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n.$$

Then since $[x, y]_k = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n + ([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^{n+1}z^n)$, the result follows.

Conversely, let $x, y \in R$ and suppose that $[x, y]_k = a + w$ where a is strongly regular, w is nilpotent and $aw = wa$. Since a is strongly regular, there exists $b \in R$ such that $a^2b = a$, $ab = ba$ and $bw = wb$ (by [1, Lemma 1]). Since $[x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^2b = (a + w) - (a + w)^2b = w - w(2a + w)b$ is nilpotent and $[x, y]_k b = b[x, y]_k$, it follows that $[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z$ for some positive integer n and some $z \in R$ with $[x, y]_k z = z[x, y]_k$. Thus R is strongly k -Engel π -regular. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have the following:

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a reduced ring. If R is strongly k -Engel π -regular, then one of the following occurs:*

- (a) R has the k -Engel condition;
- (b) There exist $x, y \in R$ such that $[x, y]_k = a$ for some non-zero strongly regular element a .

Proof. Since R is reduced, the only nilpotent element in R is the zero element. Thus by Theorem 2.1, for any $x, y \in R$, $[x, y]_k = a$ for some strongly regular element $a \in R$. If R has no non-zero strongly regular element, then R satisfies the k -Engel condition. Otherwise, we have condition (b) as asserted. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let R be a reduced strongly k -Engel π -regular ring. Then either R is commutative or there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $[x, y]_k = a$ for some non-zero strongly regular element a .*

Proof. This follows by Corollary 2.2 and the fact that a reduced ring with the k -Engel condition is commutative (see [2, Lemma 18]). \square

For a ring R , let $D(R)$ denote the commutator ideal of R , that is, the ideal generated by all commutators of R . We next consider a stronger condition than that in Corollary 2.2, namely, when the ring has no non-zero divisors.

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a strongly k -Engel π -regular ring. If R has no zero divisors, then $R = D(R)$ or R is commutative.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$. Since R is strongly k -Engel π -regular, there exist $z \in R$ and a positive integer n such that $[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z$ and $[x, y]_k z = z[x, y]_k$. Then $[x, y]_k^n(1 - [x, y]_k z) = 0$. Since R has no zero divisors, it follows that $[x, y]_k = 0$ or $[x, y]_k z = 1$. If $R \neq D(R)$, then $[x, y]_k z \neq 1$ for any $x, y, z \in R$. Hence, $[x, y]_k = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$ and it thus follows by [4, Theorem 1.2] that R is commutative. \square

Remark. The semiprimitive strongly k -Engel π -regular rings are not necessarily commutative. For example, the ring $M_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of 2×2 matrices over the field \mathbb{F}_2 is a semiprimitive strongly k -Engel π -regular ring which is not commutative.

For a ring R , let $N_r(R)$, $Z(R)$ and $J(R)$ denote the sum of all the nil right ideals of R , the center of R and the Jacobson radical of R , respectively. It is known that $N_r(R)$ is also the sum of all the nil left ideals of R and that $N_r(R)$ is thus a two-sided ideal of R .

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be a strongly k -Engel π -regular ring with $N_r(R) = 0$. If for any $x, y \in R$ there exists a positive integer $t = t(x, y)$ such that $(xy)^t - (yx)^t \in Z(R)$, then R is commutative.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$. Since R is strongly k -Engel π -regular, there exist $z \in R$ and a positive integer n such that $[x, y]_k^n = [x, y]_k^{n+1}z = [x, y]_k^n[x, y]_k z$ and $[x, y]_k z = z[x, y]_k$. But, since $(xy)^t - (yx)^t \in Z(R)$ for some positive

integer t , we have that $[x, y]_k z \in J(R)$ (by [5, Lemma 3]). Hence, $1 - [x, y]_k z$ has a right inverse and since $[x, y]_k^n (1 - [x, y]_k z) = 0$, we have that $[x, y]_k^n = 0$. It then follows by [4, Theorem 1.2] that R is commutative. \square

References

- [1] G. Azumaya, Strongly π -regular rings, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I*, **13** (1954), 34-39.
- [2] C.L. Chuang, J.S. Lin, On a conjecture by Herstein, *J. Algebra*, **126** (1989), 119-138.
- [3] M.F. Dischinger, Sur les anneaux fortement π -réguliers, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, **283** (1976), 571-573.
- [4] A. Giambruno, J.Z. Gonçalves, A. Mandel, Rings with algebraic n -Engel elements, *Comm. Algebra*, **22** (1994), 1685-1701.
- [5] A.H. Yamini, Sh. Sahebi, Rings satisfying the generalized polynomial identity $(x - x^n)([x, y]_k - [x, y]_k^n) = 0$, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma*, **6** (1999), 11-18.

